STIC-ILL

13668890 canall

1/04/7

From:

STIC-Biotech/ChemLib

Sent: Wednesday, April 07, 2004 3:09 PM

STIC-ILL

To: Subject:

FW: 09764224

190828

----Original Message----

From:

Yaen, Christopher

Sent:

Wednesday, April 07, 2004 3:08 PM

To:

STIC-Biotech/ChemLib

Subject:

09764224

could you please get the following ref(s):

Semin Surg Oncol. 1991 Jul-Aug;7(4):221-9.

Biotherapy. 1996;9(1-3):117-21.

Biotherapy: 1996;9(1-3):7-11.

Prog Drug Res. 1994;42:401-21.

Journal of Clinical Hematology and Oncology, (1978) Vol. 8, No. 4, pp.120.

Saarlandisches Arzteblatt, (1979) 32/10 (478-489)

Cancer Immunology Immunotherapy, (1981) 11/1 (73-79).

Scandinavian journal of immunology, (1974) 3 (2) 223-8.

Christopher Yaen US Patent Office Art Unit 1642 571-272-0838 REM 3A20 REM 3C18

on Tho

409 7%

工的预

26 July 24/9

Seminars in Surgical Oncology 7:221-229 (1991)

Immunotherapy of Renal Cell Cancer

RICHARD CRUSINBERRY, MD, AND RICHARD D. WILLIAMS, MD From the Department of Urology, University of Iowa, Iowa City

Immunotherapy of metastatic renal adenocarcinoma (RCC) is currently an alternative to cytotoxic chemotherapy. Bacillus Calmette-Guérin has been associated with a 22% response rate in small series, but no large-scale clinical trials have been completed. Transfer factor, in combination with other immunotherapeutic and chemotherapeutic compounds, has a reported 13% incidence of response. Tumor vaccines have caused clinical response in only 5% of patients while monoclonal antibodies have produced partial remission in one of nine patients. Immune RNA has been associated with a 14% overall incidence of response. Tumor necrosis factor has not as yet been studied in any large-scale clinical investigations but preliminary studies are not promising. Leukocyte-derived and recombinant interferons alone have produced responses in 10-20% of patients with tolerable toxicity. Combinations of interferons or with cytotoxic chemotherapy have produced slightly improved responses with short duration and substantial toxicity. Adoptive immunotherapy using Interleukin-2 alone, or with IL-2 plus lymphocyte-activated killer cells, or tumor infiltrating lymphocytes or interferons have produced clinical responses in 10-30% of patients treated. Combinations of specific forms of immune therapy may hold promise for better rates of clinical response in the future.

KEY WORDS: adoptive immunotherapy, interleukin-2, LAK cells, tumor infiltrating lymphocytes, interferons

INTRODUCTION

Advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is not highly responsive to any currently available therapy. Response rates for chemotherapy (primarily vinblastine) are in the range of 15–20%, while hormonal manipulation has only an 8–10% response rate. These poor rates of response have prompted investigation into alternative therapies for this disease, including immunotherapy.

The study of immunotherapy for RCC has been stimulated by the spontaneous regression of metastases from RCC. Freed et al. accumulated 51 cases of idiopathic regression of RCC metastases after nephrectomy [1]. However, many of these cases were not documented histologically and the available data suggest less than a 1% rate of "spontaneous regression" [2]. In 1914, Coley reported mixed results from his treatment of renal tumors with a prolonged course of therapy with a mixture of bacterial toxins [3]. Later investigators reported isolated instances of tumor regression following injection of tumor toxins [4], but only since the 1970s

have there been large-scale investigations of immunotherapy as a treatment modality for advanced RCC.

Strategies for using immunotherapy are either active or passive. Methods of active and passive immunotherapy are listed in Table I. Active immunotherapy attempts to stimulate an immune response to either specific tumor antigens or nonspecific antigens associated with tumor. Active immunotherapy, therefore, requires an intact immune system and an antigen that is recognizable and clearly associated only with tumor. However, the antigenicity of most solid tumors is low, and individual variation between tumors of the same type makes it difficult to isolate a tumor antigen that would be universally recognized and tumor-specific. In addition, there is evidence that patients with cancer have a reduced immune response capacity which diminishes their ability to mount a sufficient immune response [5,6]. As a result

Address reprint requests to Richard D. Williams, M.D., Department of Urology, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA 52242.

222 Crusinberry and Williams

TABLE I. Immunologic Treatment of Cancer*

Active immunotherapy

Specific

Inactivated tumor vaccines (autologous, allogeneic)

Human tumor hybrids

Monoclonal tumor anti-idiotypic antibodies

Nonspecific

Chemical immunostimulants-levamisole, picabanyl, cimetidine, lysosomes containing macrophage-activating substances

Biological immunostimulants—BCG, cyclophosphamide, C. parvum, etc.

Cytokines-interferon, IL-2, IL-4, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)

Chemotherapy

Passive (adoptive) immunotherapy

Specific

Heterologous antiserum from immunized human monoclonal antibodies-murine, human, chimeric

Biologic---via opsinization, complement fixation, or antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxic mechanisms

Radiotherapeutic-alpha- or gamma-emitting radionuclides

Chemotherapeutic-adriamycin, methotrexate, diptheria or ricin conjugates

T lymphocytes-autologous, allogeneic, xenogeneic

From in vitro sensitization

From tumor-draining lymph nodes

From TILs

Monoclonal lymphocytes

Allogeneic bone marrow transplants with ablative chemotherapy or radiation therapy (graft versus tumor)

Nonspecific

LAK cells-generated by IL-2

Activated macrophages—interferon

Cytostatic or cytotoxic cytokines—interferon; TNF

*Adapted from Lotze MT, Rosenberg SA [76], with permission from J. P. Lippincott Company.

BCG - bacillus Calmette Guérin; TIL = tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte; LAK = lymphokine-activated killer; IL-2 = interleukin-2; IL-4 = interleukin-4: TNF = tumor necrosis factor.

most efforts have focused on passive immunotherapy. Passive immunotherapy attempts to transfer sensitized immune factors that are either specific or nonspecific to the tumor antigen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS OF ACTIVE IMMUNOTHERAPY Bacillus Calmette-Guérin

Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) was initially isolated from *Mycobacterium bovis* as an attenuated species for use as a vaccine against tuberculosis. Its antitumor activity has been observed in vivo in studies of tumor growth. Its activity probably principally involves a T-

cell-mediated immune response [7], although there is evidence that it exerts an independent effect on tumor necrosis factor [8].

The results of clinical trials of BCG in renal carcinoma are summarized in Table II. Morales and Eidinger administered subcutaneous BCG to ten patients with metastatic renal carcinoma and achieved objective improvement in five, although only one of these responses met present oncologic criteria for a partial remission [9]. Minton treated nine patients with pulmonary metastases with BCG and achieved an objective response in four [10]. Four patients treated by other investigators did not respond to BCG therapy for their disseminated renal carcinoma [11]. Data on BCG treatment of renal carcinoma

TABLE II. Clinical Trials of Active Immunotherapeutic Agents in Renal Carcinoma*

First author, year	Preparation used		CR	PR	Response %
BCG					
Morales, 1976 [9]	1 mg40 mg BCG	10	0	j	10
Minton, 1976 [10]	Not uvailable	9	0	4	44
Montie, 1982 [11]	5 × 10 ⁸ organisms, Tia	4	0	O	0
Transfer factor					
Monue, 1977 [13]	Transfer factor alone	10	0	0	0
Montie, 1982 [11]	$TF + BCG \pm CCNU$	21	3	1	19
Turnor vaccines					
Niedhart, 1980 [15]	Tumor + tuberculin/phytohemagglutinin	30	0	2	. 13
Sahasrabudhe, 1986 [14]	Tumor + C. parvum	48	0	2	4

^{*}TF = transfer factor; CCNU = cyclohexylchloroethylnitrosurea.

is sparse, since there are no randomized large-scale studies of this therapy.

Transfer Factor

Transfer factor is a dialyzable polypeptide derived from lymphocytes that initiates cellular immunity by converting lymphocytes to an antigen responsive state [12]. Montie et al. treated ten RCC patients with transfer factor and achieved stabilization of disease in all patients [13]. An additional cohort of 21 patients treated with either transfer factor alone, or transfer factor with BCG or chemotherapy, achieved a total of three complete remissions and one partial remission [11] (Table II).

Tumor Vaccines

Tumor vaccines are another variation of nonspecific therapy. In this type of therapy, patients are given an intradermal injection of a nonspecific adjuvant plus autologous tumor cells with the intent of exposing the effector cells of the immune system to a higher dose of tumor antigens. In one such study using irradiated tumor cells mixed with Corynebacterium parvum, Sahasrabudhe et al. reported two partial remissions and no complete remissions among 48 patients with metastatic renal carcinoma [14]. Other investigators using aggregated tumor adjuvant consisting of autologous tumor cells with tuberculin or phytohemagglutinin have reported a 13% response rate and a 22 week mean duration in 30 patients studied with advanced renal tumors [15] (Table II).

METHODS OF PASSIVE IMMUNOTHERAPY Monoclonal Antibodies

Kohler and Milstein first produced monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) after hybridization between a malignant myeloma cell line and spleen cells from a mouse immunized against a specific antigen [16]. Since that time, attempts have been made to define mAbs use in the diagnosis and treatment of many tumors. They have been principally used conjugated to radioisotopes for diagnosis and localization of renal tumors. Their use in treatment is much less well defined. Although some researchers have used human mAbs for treatment of non-urologic malignancies, clinical trials of mAbs in renal carcinoma have been performed with murine and anti-human mAbs only. Lange et al. reported tumor regression with ¹³⁴I-labeled AFP-22 mAbs in mice [17]. Real and associates, using ¹³⁴I-labeled mouse F23 mAbs in nine patients with renal carcinoma metastatic to bone reported one partial remission and two mixed antitumor responses (Table III) [18].

Several problems are inherent in the use of mAbs in tumor therapy. First, since tumors are heterogeneous they may require more than one antibody to treat a patient's entire tumor burden. It is possible to create a mixture of multiple mAbs but this involves an increased risk of toxicity and significantly greater expense. In addition, patients exposed to murine mAbs develop antimouse antibodies after a single exposure. This limits the effectiveness of mAbs in a patient requiring multiple treatments.

Immune RNA

Therapy with xenogeneic immune RNA involves priming the patient's monocytes with RNA from sheep immunized with either autologous or allogeneic renal carcinoma. Clinical experience with this form of treatment is summarized in Table III. After injection of immune RNA in 20 patients, Ramming and deKernion reported minor responses but no partial or complete remissions in any patient [19]. Richie and colleagues incubated autologous lymphocytes with immune RNA and reinfused the primed cells into 22 patients with metastatic RCC. They reported one complete remission and five partial remissions in their series with all responses of at least 6 months duration [20].

Tumor Necrosis Factor

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF-A), known also as "cachectin," is a secretory protein of macrophages following stimulation by lipopolysaccharide or endotoxin. It is structurally and biologically similar to another cytokine, lymphotoxin (TNF-B), and they may compete for a common receptor. Tumor necrosis factor has been identified as the agent promoting tumor necrosis in endotoxemic mice [21]. Its effect probably involves direct cytotoxicity mediated by a TNF receptor [22], as well as indirect injury to tumor vasculature, causing occlusion of tumor blood vessels [23]. Blick and colleagues reported

TABLE III. Clinical Trials of Passive Immunotherapeutic Agents in Renal Carcinoma

First author, your	n	CR	PR	Response %
Monoclonal antibodies Real, 1987 [18]	9	O	1	11
[mmune RNA Ramming, 1977 [19] Richie, 1984 (20)	21 22	0 1	0 5	0 27
Tumor necrosis factor Blick, 1987 [24]	2	0	1	50

TO SETTING THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

224 Crusinberry and Williams

a series of patients with various carcinomas given TNF, including two patients with disseminated renal carcinoma of which one had a partial remission. Toxicities involved a flu-like syndrome with fever and chills [24]. More recently, Burgers et al. reported a synergistic effect of TNF and VP-16 in mice with metastatic renal carcinoma. They speculated that this synergism may have been due to targeted destruction of DNA [25]. To date, however, TNF has not demonstrated substantive antitumor effect in any cancer in clinical trials.

Interferons

Interferons are cytokines with a broad spectrum of biological activities. There are three main types of interferons. Alpha interferon is leukocyte-derived, while beta interferon is fibroblast-derived; these interferons share the same receptor [25]. Gamma interferon, or immune interferon, is structurally distinct and shares only the antiviral properties of the other interferons. Their exact physiologic roles are unclear, and the precise mechanism of their antitumor effect has not been defined. They appear to interfere with viral protein synthesis and may inhibit tumor protein synthesis as well, as evidenced by the fact that the fraction of cells in the G_0 phase of the cell cycle is increased in cells treated with interferons [26].

In addition, interferons affect the immune system by augmentation of natural killer (NK) cell activity [27,28]. They also appear to stimulate the expression of class I major histocompatability complex (MHC) gene products [29] as well as beta-2 microglobulin [30]. Gamma interferon also stimulates the expression of class II MHC gene products [31].

TOTAL STATE

Quesada and colleagues reported the first trial of leukocyte alpha interferon in disseminated renal carcinoma [32] (Table IV). Nincteen patients with advanced RCC were given partially purified leukocyte alpha interferon at 3 million units per day by IM injection. Five of these patients showed a partial remission with two other patients obtaining a minor response. Numerous other studies have been done with both partially purified and recombinant interferons [33-35]. These studies have shown response rates ranging from 0 to 50%, with a median response rate of 13%. These studies are summarized in Tables IV through VII. Comparison of these studies illustrates that there is not a significant difference in response rates between the various subtypes of alpha interferon, whether from human or recombinant sources. Overall response was 19% for human leukocyte interferon, 17% for partially purified leukocyte interferon, and 14% for recombinant alpha interferons. In addition, it is clear from the few reported trials (Table VI, VII) that beta and gamma interferons do not have significantly more antitumor activity than does alpha interferon.

Those studies, in which different doses of interferons were used, suggest that higher doses are associated with greater response. Kirkwood et al. found no remissions in a group of 14 patients randomized to 1 million units per day, while 3 of 16 patients responded at 10 million units per day [36]. Similarly, Quesada found no responses in 15 patients given 2 million units per day of alpha-2 interferon, while 4 of 15 patients given 20 million units per day had partial remission [37].

The role of anti-interferon antibody in the clinical response to interferon therapy is not clear. Quesada noted antibodies in 30% of patients treated with recombinant alpha-2 interferon; development of these antibodies, however, did not affect response or patient survival [37]. In addition, Muss et al. observed the development of antibodies to alpha-2 interferon in 7 of 131 patients, of which 3 were responders. The presence of the antibodies did not affect the rate or duration of response or the toxicity [38]. However, there have been other reports of patients whose responses seem shortened by the development of anti-interferon antibodies [39].

Combinations of alpha interferon with chemotherapeutic agents (notably vinblastine) have not, in general, demonstrated substantial improvement in response rates over interferon alone [39]. Neidhart demonstrated no significant difference in the response of recombinant alpha-2 interferon with low-dose vinblastine, but found significant hematologic toxicity and a 10% incidence of death from sepsis at vinblastine doses of 10 mg/m² [40].

TABLE IV. Activity of Partially-Purified Leukocyte Alpha Interferon in Metastatic Renal Carcinoma

First author, year	Dose, route	n	CR	PR	Response %
Quesada, 1983 [32]	3 million units IM	19	0	5	26
deKernion, 1983 [33]	3 million units IM	43	7	6	16
Neidhart, 1984 [34]	5 million units IM	33	0	5	15
Marumo, 1984 [35]	3 million units IM	18	1	0	6
Kirkwood, 1985 [36]	1-10 million units IM	30	<u>-</u>	2	10
Quesada, 1985 [55]	3 million units IM	50	3	10	26
Vugrin, 1985 [56]	3 million units IM	21	0	1	5
Edsmyr, 1985 [57]	3 million units IM	11	ì	2	27
					Average 17

TABLE V. Activity of Recombinant Alpha Interferon in Metastatic Renal Carcinoma

First author, year	Dose, routc	<u>_</u>	CR	PR	Response %
Alpha-2a					
Krown, 1983 [58]	50 million units IM	19	0	2	11
Quesada, 1985 [37]	2-20 million units IM	30	0	4	13
Taguchi, 1986 [59]	3-50 million units IM	108	2	13	13
Fossa, 1986 [60]	36 million units IM	' 2	0)	50
Buzaid, 1987 [61]	3-36 million units IM	22	1	4	22
					Average 15
Alpha-2b					
Umeda, 1986 [38]	6-10 million units IM	45	1	7	18
Muss, 1987 [62]	2 million units SQ	97	2	6	8
					Average 11

TABLE VI. Activity of Recombinant Beta Interferon in Metastatic Renal Carcinoma

First author, year	Dose, routc	,	CR	PR	Response %
Kish, 1986 [63]	3-30 million units IV	16	0	1	6
Rinehart, 1986 [64]	.01-60 million units IV	15	0	3	20
					Average 13

Adverse effects of interferons consist of a flu-like syndrome characterized by fever, chills, tachycardia, malaise, and myalgia. The chronic, dose-limiting effects of interferons include fatigue, weakness, and anorexia [41]. These chronic toxicities, unlike the acute effects, do not diminish with continued therapy and represent a notable limitation in view of the poor rate of response.

ADOPTIVE IMMUNOTHERAPY Interleukin-2

Adoptive immunotherapy involves the administration of immune cells that directly or indirectly mediate antitumor effects. Interleukin-2 (IL-2), or T-cell growth factor, is a secretory product of activated T-helper lymphocytes and can induce proliferation of human lymphocytes [42]. Of interest is the fact that lymphocytes incubated with IL-2 developed improved cytotoxic activity against a variety of tumors in vitro, and that these cells can lyse tumors in vivo [43]. The cloning and expression of the human IL-2 gene has been accomplished, allowing for production of large quantities of recombinant IL-2 and facilitating its use in non-clinical and clinical trials.

Lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cells are produced during incubation of lymphocytes with IL-2. They are capable of in vitro lysis of both autologous and allogeneic tumor cells as well as cultured cell lines, including both NK-sensitive and NK-resistant cells, through a non-specific mechanism not linked to MHC antigens. The LAK population is heterogeneous; it includes subpopulations with phenotypic resemblances to T cells, as well as those that resemble NK cells.

A third form of adoptive immunotherapy involves tu-

mor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), which use IL-2-activated and -expanded lymphocytes cultured directly from tumor. This method may allow for lower doses of IL-2 and may increase cytolytic activity per cell. Freshly isolated TILs show only minimal antitumor activity, while those incubated with IL-2 show cytotoxicity against a variety of tumors in vitro using an MHC-specific mechanism [44].

Studies of all these forms of adoptive immunotherapy are listed in Table IX. The results of single-agent IL-2 studies have generally been disappointing. In the NCI surgical branch study, involving 31 patients treated with high-dose interleukin-2, complete remission was noted in only three patients and partial remission in two, representing an overall response rate of only 16% [44]. Other phase I-II studies in renal carcinoma show no response in 14 patients treated with 0.5 to 4 million units/m² of IL-2, although one of four patients treated with intravenous bolus IL-2 achieved a partial remission [45]. Sosman et al., using a low-dose regimen, demonstrated three partial remissions in 17 patients with metastatic renal carcinoma treated with 1 to 3 million units/ m²/day for an overall response rate of 18% with less toxicity than reported in the NCI trials [46].

The central role of LAK cells in the cytotoxic effect of IL-2 prompted Rosenberg et al. to administer LAK cells with IL-2 to measure tumor response. This protocol consisted of 5 days of IL-2 (100,000 units/kg every 8 hours), followed by leukopheresis and in vitro expansion of the lymphocytes. These LAK cells were then re-infused in conjunction with 4 to 5 additional days of IL-2. They reported four complete remissions and eight partial remissions in the first 36 patients treated with this regime,

226 Crusinberry and Williams

TABLE VII. Activity of Recombinant Gamma Interferon in Metastatic Renal Carcinoma

First author, year	Dose, route	<u> </u>	CR	PR	Response %
Rinehart, 1986 [65]	.000175 mg/m² IV	24	O	O	0
Quesada, 1987 [66]	.25–1.0 mg/m ² IM	14	0	1	. 7
Gamick, 1988 [67]	$.01-3.0 \text{ mg/m}^2 \text{ IV}$	40	1	3	10
					Average 6

TABLE VIII. Activity of Interferon and Cytotoxic Chemotherapy in Metastatic Renal Carcinoma

First author, year	Dose, route		п	ÇR/PR	Response %
Abdi, 1985 [68]	30 million units IFN-B IV 0.2 mg/kg vinblastine		10	0	0
Bergerat, 1985 [69]	10-20 million units INF/A IV 0.075-0.15 mg/kg vinblastine		13	5	38
Figlin, 1985 [70]	3 million units IFN-A IM 0.10-0.15 mg/kg vinblastine		23	3	13
Fossa, 1986 [60]	36 million units IFN-A IM 0.10-0.15 mg/kg vinblastine	•	16	5	31
					Average 21

TABLE IX. Clinical Trials of Interleukin-2 in Metastatic Renal Carcinoma

First author, year	Dose	n	CR	PR	Response %
IL-2 alone		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Rosenberg, 1984 [71]	0.1 million units/1 8 h/kg	31	3	2	16
Hemstreet, 1987 [45]	0.5-4.0 million units	14	O	Ö	0
Whitehead, 1987 [72]	0.5-5.0 million units	14	0	Ö	0
Sosman, 1988 [46]	1–3 million units	17	0	3	18
Goldstein, 1989 [73]	1 million units	9	0	0	0
Marumo, 1989 [74]	I million units	11	2	1	27
					Average 11
IL-2 plus LAK					
Roscnberg, 1987 [47]	.1 million units/8 h/kg	36	4	8	. 33
West, 1987 [49]	. I million units/kg/8 b	. 6	0	3	50
Fisher, 1988 [48]	.1 million units/kg/8 h	34	1	4	16
Philip, 1989 [75]	50.6 million unit cumulative dose	19	0	6	32
					Average 27
IL-2 plus interferon			e .		
Krigel, 1988 [51]	5 million units IL-2				
	6 million units beta-interferon	21	1	5	29
Markowitz, 1989 [52]	2-3 million units IL-2			_	
	2-10 million units alpha-interferon	14	1	2	21
					Average 26
TIL	,				
Kradin, 1989 [54]	1-3 million units IL-2	7	0	2	29

an overall response rate of 33% [47]. The NCI extramural program using the same treatment protocol reported one complete remission and four partial remissions among 34 patients (16%) [48]. West et al. have documented partial remission in three of six patients with renal carcinoma treated with LAK cells plus continuous infusion of IL-2, with less toxicity than the NCI studies [49]. On the other hand, Weiss et al. found no significant difference in toxicity between bolus injection and con-

LICENS DOM DECENTABLES OF THE SECTION AND AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE SECTION AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE SECTION AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE SECTION AND ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION AND ADMINISTRATION AND ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION

tinuous infusion of IL-2 [50]. Fisher and colleagues compared the first groups treated in both NCI trials and found that the differences in response rates were likely attributable to differences in tumor burden, metastatic sites, and pretreatment nephrectomy [48]. Duration of response in the initial NCI studies, was a median of 8 months in the complete remissions and 4 months in the partial remissions.

Some investigators have begun clinical trials of com-

binations of IL-2 and interferons (Table IX). Krigel, using recombinant beta-interferon and IL-2, has reported one complete remission and five partial remissions in 21 evaluable patients [51]. Markowitz, using recombinant alpha-2 interferon and IL-2, has observed a 21% response in 14 patients with one complete remission and two partial remissions [52].

The toxicity of IL-2 is significant and includes hypotension, oliguria, azotemia, substantial fluid retention, nausea, vomiting, respiratory distress due to a "capillary leak" syndrome, fever, chills, hepatic dysfunction, and mental status disturbances, all of which are reversible [43]. A significant fraction of patients treated with IL-2 and LAK require admission to the intensive care unit, but mortality from this therapy was only 2% in the NCI trials.

Finally, Belldegrun et al. have shown that TILs cultured with IL-2 caused activation and expansion of these cells. The majority of these cells in renal carcinoma are T-cytotoxic/T-suppressor (CD4+) cells [53]. In addition, recent studies have shown that TIL were therapeutically more potent than IL-2-activated spleen cells in several murine tumor models [44]. Clinical data on TIL are limited, but Kradin et al. achieved a partial remission in two of seven patients with renal carcinoma treated with TIL [54] (Table IX). Several studies are currently in progress but anecdotal reports do not substantiate improved response rates. Current research is directed at determining whether isolation of TILs with cytotoxicity restricted to autologous tumor cells derived from either the tumor or adjacent lymph nodes will prove to be more efficacious. Further studies with regard to where the TIL cells traffic when introduced into the host and whether responses are due to direct effects of the TIL on tumor cells or are indirect via other as yet imprecise mechanisms are in progress.

Other interleukins, especially IL-4, have shown some promise as antitumor therapy. However, investigation of these cytokines is just getting underway, and no clinical trials have as yet been reported.

CONCLUSIONS

The precise role of immunotherapy in renal carcinoma has not been fully established. No methods of treatment have yet had reproducible response rates of more than 10-20%, which is comparable to those for vinblastine chemotherapy. There is clearly an immunological response but today's methods are nonspecific. It is possible that a subgroup of patients can be identified according to tumor burden, metastatic site, and performance status who have higher response rates to immunotherapy. More importantly, ways may be found to stimulate subpopulations of active T cells or macrophages to improve the specificity of the immune response. Perhaps combina-

tions of cytokines will improve response rates of metastatic RCC to immunotherapy. Further efforts are required to more clearly define the role of immunotherapy in the oncologist's armamentarium against renal carcinoma.

REFERENCES

- Freed SZ, Halperin JP, Gordon M: Idiopathic regression of metastases from renal cell carcinoma. J Urol 118:538-542, 1977.
- deKernion JB: Management of renal adenocarcinoma. In de-Kernion JB, Paulson D (eds): "Genitourinary Cancer Management." Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger, 1987, 187-217.
- 3. Coley WB: "The Treatment of Malignant Inoperable Tumors With the Mixed Toxins of Erysipelas and Bucillus Prodigiosus." Brussels: Weissenbruch, 1914.
- Nauts HC, Fowler GAA, Bogatka FH: A review of the influence of bacterial infection and of bacterial products (Coley's Toxins) on malignant tumors in man. Acta Med Scand 145(Suppl 276):1– 103, 1953.
- 5. Fahey JL, Brosman S, Ossario RC, et al.: Immunotherapy and human tumor immunology. Ann Intern Med 84:454-465, 1976.
- Wirth M, Schmitz-Drager BJ, Ackermann R: Functional properties of natural killer cells in carcinoma of the prostate. J Urol 133:973-978, 1985.
- Lange PH: Lymphocyte-mediated cytotoxicity in patients with renal and transitional cell carcinoma receiving BCG. Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 49:343

 –345, 1978.
- 8. Old LI: Cancer immunology: The search for specificity. Cancer Res 41:361-375, 1981.
- 9. Morales A, Eidinger D: Bacillus Calmette-Guerin in the treatment of adenocarcinoma of the kidney. J Urol 115:377-380, 1976.
- Minton JP, Pennline K. Nowrocki JF: Immunotherapy of human kidney cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 17:301, 1976.
- 11. Montie JE, Bukowski RM, James RE, Straffon RA, Stewart BH: A critical review of immunotherapy of disseminated renal adenocarcinoma. J Surg Oncol 21:5-8, 1982.
- 12. Kirkpatrick CH, Gallin II: Treatment of infectious and neoplastic diseases with trunsfer factor. Oncology 29:46-73, 1974.
- 13. Montie JE, Bukowski RM, Deodhar SD, et al.: Immunotherapy of disseminated renal cell carcinoma with transfer factor. J Urol 117:553-556, 1977.
- Sahasrabudhe DM, dcKernion JB, Pontes JE, et al.: Specific immunotherapy with suppressor function inhibition for metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Biol Response Mod 5:581-594, 1986.
- Neidhart IA, Murphy SG, Hennick LA, Wise HA: Active specific immunotherapy of stage IV renal carcinoma with aggregated tumor antigen adjuvant. Cancer 46:1128-1134, 1980.
- Kohler G, Milsetin C: Continuous cultures of fused cells secreting antibody of predefined specificity. Nature 256:495

 497, 1975.
- 17. Lange PH, Vesella RL, Chiou R, et al.: Monoclonal antibodies in human renal cell carcinoma and their use in radioimmune localization and therapy of tumor xenografts. Surgery 98:143-150, 1985.
- Real FX, Bander NH, Yeh S: Monoclonal antibody F23: Radio-localization and phase I study in patients with renal cell carcinoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 6:240, 1987 (abstr).
- Ramming KP, deKernion JB: Immune RNA therapy for renal cell carcinoma. Ann Surg 186:459-467, 1977.
- 20. Richie JP, Steele GD, Wilson RE, et al.: Current treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma with xenogeneic immune ribonucleic acid. J Urol 131:236-238, 1984.
- 21. Carswell EA, Old LJ, Green S, et al.: An endotoxin-induced serum factor that causes necrosis of tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 72:3666-3670, 1975.
- 22. Tsujimoto M, Tip YK, Vilcek I: Tumor necrosis factor: Specific binding and internalization in sensitive and resistant cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82:7626-7630, 1985.
- 23. Manda T, Shirmomura K, Mukumoto S, et al.: Recombinant human tumor necrosis factor-a: Evidence of an indirect mode of antitumor activity. Cancer Res 47:3707-3711, 1987.

228 Crusinberry and Williams

- Blick M, Sherwin SA, Rosenblum M, Gutterman J: Phase I study of recombinant tumor necrosis factor in cancer patients. Cancer Res 47:2986-2989, 1987.
- Burgers JK, Marshall FF, Isaacs JT: Enhanced anti-tumor effects
 of recombinant human tumor necrosis factor plus VP-16 on metastatic renal cell carcinoma in a xenograft model. J Urol 142:160
 –
 164, 1989.
- Revel M: The interferon system in man: Nature of the interferon molecules and mode of aciton. In Becker Y (ed): "Antiviral Drugs and Interferon." New York: Matinus Nijhoff, 1984, 357– 433.
- 27. Herberman RB, Ortaldo JR, Mantovani A, et al.: Effect of human recombinant interferon on cytotoxic activity of natural killer (NK) and monocytes. Cell Immunol 67:160-167, 1982.
- 28. Herberman RB, Ortaldo JR, Bonnard GD: Augmentation by interferon of human natural and antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Nature 277:221-223, 1968.
- 29. Brown GD, Choi Y. Panpeno C, Meruelo D: Regulation of H-2 class I gene expression in virally transformed and infected cells-CRC Crit Rev Immunol 8:175-215, 1988.
- Heron IM, Hokland M, Berg K: Enhanced expression of B₂micro-globulin and HLA antigens on human lymphoid cells by
 interferon. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 75:6215-6219, 1978.
- 31. Giacomini P, Fisher PB, Duigou GJ, et al.: Regulation of Class II MHC gene expression by interferons: Insights into the mechanism of action of interferon (review). Anticancer Res 8:1153-1162, 1988.
- Quesada JR, Swanson DA. Trindade A, Gutterman JU: Renal cell carcinoma: Antitumor effects of leukocyte interferon. Cancer Res 43:940-947, 1983.
- deKernion JB, Sarna G, Figlin R, et al.: The treatment of renal cell carcinoma with human leukocyte alpha-interforon. J Urol 130:1063-1066, 1983.
- 34. Neidhart JA, Gagen MM, Young D, et al.: Interferon-alpha therapy of renal cancer. Cancer Res 44:4140-4143, 1984.
- 35. Marumo K, Murai M, Hayakawa M, Tazaki H: Human lymphoblastoid interferon therapy for advanced renal cell corcinoma. Urology 24:567-571, 1984.
- Kirkwood JM, Harris JE, Vera R, et al.: A randomized study of low and high doses of leukocyte alpha-interferon in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: The American Cancer Society Collaborative Trial. Cancer Res 45:863-871, 1985.
- 37. Quesada JR, Swanson DA, Gutterman JU: Phase II study of interferon alpha in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: A progress report. J Clin Oncol 3:1086-1092, 1985.
- 38. Umeda R, Nijima T: Phase II study of alpha interferon on renal cell carcinoma. Summary of three collaborative trials. Cancer 58:1231-1235, 1986.
- 39. Muss HB: Interferon therapy for renal cell carcinoma, Semin Oncol 14(Suppl 2):36-42, 1987.
- 40. Neidhart J. Harris J. Tuttle R: A randomized study of Wellferon (WFN) with or without vinblastine (VLB) in advanced renal cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 6:239, 1987 (abstr).
- 41. Quesada JR, Talpaz, M, Rios A, et al.: Clinical toxicity of interferon in cancer patients: a review. J Clin Oncol 4:234-243, 1986.
- 42. Morgan DA, Ruscetti FW, Gallo R: Selective in vitro growth of T lymphocytes from normal human bone marrows. Science 193:1007, 1008, 1976.
- 43. Rosenberg SA, Lotze MT, Mule JJ: New approaches to the immunotherapy of cancer using interleukin-2. Ann Intern Med 108:853-864, 1988.
- 44. Rosenberg SA, Speiss P, Lafreniere R: A new approach to the adoptive immunotherapy of cancer with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Science 233:1318-1321, 1986.
- 45. Hemstreet GP, Whitehead RP, Owen-schaub LB: Interleukin-2 in the management of patients with renal cell carcinoma. J Urol 137:222A, 1987 (abstr).
- 46. Sosman JA. Kohler PC, Hank J, et al.: Repetitive weekly cycles of recombinant human interleukin-2: Responses of renal carcinoma with acceptable toxicity. JNCI 80:60-63, 1988.
- 47. Rosenberg SA, Lotze MT, Muul LM, et al.: A progress report on

- the treatment of 157 patients with advanced cancer using lymphokine activated killer cells and interleukin-2 or high dose interleukin-2 alone. N Engl J Med 316:889-897, 1987.
- 48. Fisher RI, Coltman CA, Doroshow III, et al.: Metastatic renal cancer treated with interleukin-2 and lymphokine-activated killer cells: A Phase II clinical trial. Ann Intern Mcd 108:518-523, 1988.
- West WH, Tauer KW, Yannelli JR, et al.: Constant-infusion recombinant interleukin-2 in adoptive immunotherapy of advanced disease. N Engl J Med 316:898-905, 1987.
- 50. Weiss GR, Margolin K, Aronson FR, et al.: A randomized phase II trial of continuous infusion (CI) interleukin-2 (IL-2) or bolus injection (BI) IL-2 plus lymphokine activated killer cells (LAK) for advanced renal cell carcinoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Onocl 8:131, 1989 (abstr).
- 51. Krigel R, Padavic K, Rudolph A: A phase II study of recombinant interleukin-2 (rIL-2) plus recombinant beta interferon (beta IFN) in advanced renal cell carcinoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 7:132, 1988.
- Markowitz A, Talpaz M, Lee K, et al.: Phase I-II study of recombinant interleukin-2 (rIL-2) in renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 8:146, 1989 (abstr).
- 53. Belldegrun A, Muul LM, Rosenberg SA: Interleukin-2 expanded tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in human renal cancer: Isolation, characterization, and anti-tumor activity. Cancer Res 48:206–214, 1988.
- 54. Kradin R. Lazarus D. Dubineπ S. et al.: Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and interleukin-2 in treatment of advanced cancer. Lancet 1:577-580, 1989.
- 55. Quesada JR, Rios A, Swanson D: Antitumor activity of recombinant-derived interferon alpha in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 3:1522-1528, 1985.
- Vugrin D, Hood L, Taylor W, Laszlo J: Phase II study of human lymphoblastoid interferon in patients with advanced renal carcinoma. Cancer Treat Rep 69:817-820, 1985.
- 57. Edsmyr F, Espositi PL, Anderson L, et al.: Interferon therapy in disseminated renal cell carcinoma. Radiother Oncol 4:21-26, 1985.
- 58. Krown SE, Einzig AI. Abramson JD, Oettgen HF: Treatment of advanced renal cell cancer (RCC) with recombinant leukocyte A interferon. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 2:58, 1983.
- Taguchi T: Clinical studies of recombinant interferon alpha 2a (Roferon A) in cancer patients. Cancer 57:1705-1708, 1986.
- Fossa SD, deGaris ST, Heier MS, et al.: Recombinant interferon alpha 2a with or without vinblastine in metastatic renal cell cancer. Cancer 57:1700-1704, 1986.
- Buzaid AC, Robertone A, Kisala C, Salmon SE: Phase II study of interferon alpha-2a recombinant in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 5:1083-1089, 1987.
- 62. Muss HB, Costanzi JJ, Leavitt R, et al.: Recombinant alpha interferon in renal cell carcinoma: a randomized trial of two routes of administration. J Clin Oncol 5:286-291, 1987.
- 63. Kish J. Ensley J. Al-Sarraf M. et al.: Activity of serine substituted recombinant DNA B interferon (INF B) in patients with metastatic and recurrent renal cell cancer. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res 37:184, 1986.
- Rinchart J, Malapeis L, Young D, Neidhart J: Phase I/II trial of human recombinant beta-interferon serine in patients with renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Res 46:5364-5367, 1986.
- Rinehart JJ, Malspeis L, Young D, Neidhart JA: Phase I/II trial of human recombinant interferon gamma metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Biol Response Mod 5:300-308, 1986.
- Quesada JR, Kurzrock R, Sherwin SA. Gutterman JU: Phase II studies of recombinant human gamma interferon in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Biol Response Mod 6:20-27, 1987.
- 67. Garnick MD, Reich SD, Maxwell B, et al.: Phase I/II study of recombinant interferon gamma in advanced renal cell carcinoma. J Urol 139:251-255, 1988.
- 68. Abdi E. Kanitomo R, McPherson TA, et al.: Combination of interferon and vinblastine for renal cell carcinoma. Ann Meeting Interferon System, 1985, 133 (abstr).
- 69. Bergerat JP. Dufour P. Baily G, et al.: Recombinant leukocyte

Renal Cell Cancer

The state of the s

229

- alpha A interferon (rlfN-A) (Roferon A, Roche) in combination with vinblastine for metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Third Eur Conf Clin One Cancer Nursing, 1985, 57 (abstr).
- 70. Figlin RA, dcKernion JB, Maldazys J, Sarna G: Treatment of renal cell carcinoma with alpha (human leukocyte) interferon and vinblastine in combination: A phase I-II trial. Cancer Treat Rep 69:263-267, 1985.
- 71. Rosenberg SA: Immunotherapy of cancer by the systemic administration of lymphoid cells plus interleukin-2. J Biol Response Mod 3:501-511, 1984.
- 72. Whitchcad RP, Ward DL, Hemingway LL, et al.: Effect of subcutaneous recombinant interleukin-2 in patients with disseminated renal cell carcinoma. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 6:240, 1987.
- 73. Goldstein D. Sosman J, Hank J, et al.: Repetitive weekly cycles of interleukin 2: Outpatient treatment with a lower dose of IL-2 maintains heightened NK and LAK activity. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 8:193, 1989 (abstr).
- 74. Marumo K, Muraki J, Ueno M, et al.: Immunologic study of human recombinant interleukin-2 (low-dose) in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. Urology 33:219-225, 1989.
- 75. Philip, T, Stoter G, Jasmin C, et al.: Recombinant human inter-leukin-2 with or without LAK cells in metastatic ronal cell carcinoma: The European experience. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 8:130, 1989 (abstr).
- Lotze MT, Rosenberg SA: The immunologic treatment of cancer, CA 38(2):68-94, 1988.